

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

FILED

DEC 17 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

<p>IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT</p>
--

Nos. 14-90074 and 14-90075

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

A pro se prisoner alleges that a district judge and a magistrate judge made erroneous rulings in his civil rights case and improperly deemed him a vexatious litigant. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings and must therefore be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant claims that the judges were biased and conspired with defendants to dismiss his case. Adverse rulings are not proof of bias or conspiracy. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598, 598 (9th Cir. 2009). Further, complainant provides no objectively verifiable proof (for example, names of witnesses, recorded documents or transcripts) to support his allegations of bias or conspiracy. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 569 F.3d 1093, 1093 (9th Cir. 2009). Without such evidence, these charges must be

dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.